REMARKS

The Examiner issued a Restriction Requirement because he considered the application to contain three patentably distinct species: Species I, FIGS. 1-6, Species II, FIGS. 7-12, and Species III, FIGS. 13-14.

Applicants hereby elect to proceed with Species II, FIGS. 7-12.

Applicants have withdrawn claims 16-18, 24 and 30-35 as being drawn to a non-elected species. Applicants have added claims 37 and 38 directed to the elected species.

Applicants believe independent claim 23 and dependent claims 15 and 25 are generic. Applicants consider that claims 26, 27, 28 and 29 as well as new claims 37 and 38 are directed to the embodiment of FIGS. 7-12. Should claims 23 and 26 be found allowable, Applicants believe that the withdrawn claims dependent therefrom can be reintroduced into the application.

In the event any fee is due in connection with the present response, the Examiner is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 12-1095 therefor.

Dated: May 12, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Raymond W. Augustin/ Raymond W. Augustin Registration No.: 28,588 LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG, KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK, LLP 600 South Avenue West Westfield, New Jersey 07090

(908) 654-5000Attorney for Applicants

LD-469\